County of Santa Clara
Housing, Land Use, Environment, and Transportation Committee (HLUET)
Supervisor Mike Wasserman, Chairperson. Supervisor S. Joseph Simitian, Vice Chairperson.

By Virtual Teleconference Only –
San Jose, CA 95110  Phone (408) 299-6714

DATE: April 16, 2020, Regular Meeting
TIME: 10:00 AM
PLACE: **By Virtual Teleconference Only**

Pursuant to the provisions of California Governor’s Executive Order N-29-20, issued on March 17, 2020, this meeting will be held by teleconference only. Instructions for accessing the teleconference will be posted online at: www.sccgov.org/bosmeeting.

AGENDA
-- The recommended actions appearing on the agenda are those recommended by staff. The Committee may take other actions relating to the issues as may be determined following consideration of the matter and discussion of the recommended actions.
-- Items that will require action by the Board of Supervisors may be forwarded to a future Board of Supervisors meeting for consideration.
-- Language interpretation services are available. Please contact the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (408) 299-5001 no less than three business days prior to the meeting to request an interpreter.
-- In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Brown Act, those requiring accommodations in this meeting should notify the Clerk of the Board's Office 24 hours prior to the meeting at (408) 299-5001, or TDD (408) 993-8272.
-- To obtain a copy of any supporting document that is available, contact the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (408) 299-5001.
-- Any disclosable public records related to an open session item on a regular meeting agenda and distributed by the County to all or a majority of the Board of Supervisors (or any other commission, or board or committee) less than 72 hours prior to that meeting are available for public inspection at the Office of the Clerk of the Board, 70 West Hedding Street, 10th Floor, during normal business hours.

Opening

1. Call to Order.

2. Public Comment.

This item is reserved for persons desiring to address the Committee on any matter not on this agenda. Members of the public who wish to address the Committee on any item not listed on the agenda should complete a Request to Speak Form and place it in the tray near the podium. The Chairperson will call individuals to the podium in turn. All Request to Speak Forms must be submitted prior to the start of Public Comment.

Speakers are limited to the following: three minutes if the Chairperson or designee determines that five or fewer persons wish to address the Committee; two minutes if the Chairperson or designee determines that between six and fourteen persons wish to address the Committee; and one minute if the Chairperson or designee determines that fifteen or more person wish to address the Committee.
The law does not permit Committee action or extended discussion on any items not on the agenda except under special circumstances. If Committee action is requested, the Committee may place the matter on a future agenda. Statements that require a response may be referred to staff for reply in writing.

3. Approve Consent Calendar and changes to the Committee's Agenda.

Items removed from the Consent Calendar will be considered at the end of the regular agenda for discussion. The Committee may also add items on the regular agenda to the Consent Calendar.

Notice to the public: there is no separate discussion of Consent Calendar items, and the recommended actions are voted on in one motion. If an item is approved on the consent vote, the specific action recommended by staff is adopted. Members of the public who wish to address the Committee on Consent Calendar items should comment under this item. Each speaker is limited to two minutes total.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regular Agenda - Items for Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Receive report from the Office of the County Executive relating to potential projects to be funded from the Stanford Recreation Mitigation Fund. (Referral from January 28, 2020 Board of Supervisors meeting, Item No. 15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consent Calendar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Receive report from the Parks and Recreation Department relating to Vasona Lake Americans with Disabilities Act boat access. (ID# 100908)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Receive report relating to Supportive Housing System of Care reports. (Office of Supportive Housing) (ID# 101038)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Approve minutes of the February 20, 2020 Regular Meeting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjourn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8. Adjourn to the next regular meeting on Thursday, May 21, 2020, at 10:00 a.m. in the Board of Supervisors' Chambers, County Government Center, 70 West Hedding Street, San Jose, California.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATE: April 16, 2020

TO: Housing, Land Use, Environment, and Transportation Committee (HLUET)

FROM: Don Rocha, Director, Parks and Recreation Department

SUBJECT: Vasona Lake ADA Boat Access Project Status - Third Project Update

RECOMMENDED ACTION
Receive report from the Parks and Recreation Department relating to Vasona Lake Americans with Disabilities Act boat access.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS
There are no current fiscal implications to the County General Fund or Park Charter Fund as a result of the recommended action.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION
The Parks and Recreation Department (Department) completed the Vasona Lake ADA Boat Access Feasibility Study and presented a report to the Board of Supervisors on December 18, 2018 (Item No. 31). At the request of Supervisors Wasserman and Yeager, the Department was directed to identify funding and commence design development for an improved Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant boat dock facility at Vasona Lake in Fiscal Year 2019. The Board additionally directed the Department to report to the Housing, Land Use, Environment, and Transportation Committee (HLUET) in April and August each year relating to project status. The Department reported to HLUET for the first time on April 18, 2019 (Item No. 4) and the second time on August 15, 2019 (Item No. 4). The following is the third project update:

The Department executed the Vasona ADA Dock Engineering and Design Project Agreement with Sandis Civil Engineers, Surveyors, and Planners (Sandis) under an existing Professional Service Agreement (PSA) on August 28, 2019. The Project Agreement scope includes designing an accessible route from an existing public parking lot to the boat dock area as well as replacing the existing dock with a new ADA-compliant structure that enables self-launching to better serve boaters of all abilities. Additional work included assessment of dredging needs for the portion of the lake around the dock.

On September 20, 2019, the Department held a project kick-off meeting to provide the project information including project scope and goals, design process, and anticipated
schedule; introduce the project designer Sandis; and solicit initial input from stakeholders and other interested parties. Representatives from the Los Gatos-Saratoga Community Education and Recreation Department (LGSR), Valley Water, and the Northern California Chapter of United Spinal Association and the Department’s operation and maintenance (O&M) staff attended the project kick-off meeting. The following topics were raised and briefly discussed in the meeting and will be further discussed and addressed through the design process. Some of the topics are related to the design of the new dock and others are related to the operation of the new dock.

- ADA boat dock location: expansion of the existing dock or a separate ADA boat dock
- Boat dock materials
- Lift system at the dock in addition to the kayak self-launching system
- Lake level for boat launching capacity to determine if dredging is needed
- Users to rent ADA boats from the Boat Center operated by LGSR or bring in their own ADA boats?
- Who operates the ADA boat dock with the kayak self-launching system? LGSR or the Department?
- Water rescue procedures and exit logistics

Following the kick-off meeting, Sandis performed topographic and bathymetric surveys in the vicinity of the existing dock and inquired as to the historic and design lake level data from Valley Water, and the boat types from LGSR, in order to prepare the base map for the new dock and determine if dredging would be needed for the new dock layout. After compiling and analyzing the information from the bathymetric survey completed on October 18, 2019 and Valley Water’s historical and design water level data for station 4011 Vasona Reservoir, Sandis confirmed that historic water depths of Vasona Lake at the proposed ADA accessible boat dock location, during operating season, show sufficient water depth for operation of all LGSR vessels. Dredging will not be required to allow the continued use of LGSR vessels at the proposed ADA accessible boat dock location.

On December 17, 2019 the design team presented the proposed improvements including the accessible route from the parking lot, two design options for the new dock with ADA accessible kayak self-launching system, three dock manufacturers for dock materials, lift mechanism options, and preliminary cost estimates to the stakeholders in the Schematic Design Review Meeting. The PowerPoint file of the presentation is attached to provide more details. Below are brief descriptions of the two design options for the new dock:

- Dock Layout Option 1 - includes improvements to the existing 3-finger dock with a replacement 3-finger dock as well as adding a separate ADA-accessible dock with the ADA-compliant kayak self-launching system.
- Dock Layout Option 2 - includes improvements to the existing 3-finger dock with a replacement 4-finger dock including one ADA-accessible finger with the ADA-compliant kayak self-launching system.
The operational model of the new dock is a key factor in deciding the layout of the new dock. All meeting attendees were provided the presentation materials for their internal discussion and additional input after the Schematic Design Review Meeting. LGSR and the Department’s Operations and Maintenance staff will meet again to discuss the operational model for the new dock after internal discussions on the design options. In the meantime, the Department has done research on the operational models of agencies with similar boat facilities in Northern California and has been working with County Counsel regarding compliance with ADA requirements.

**CHILD IMPACT**

The recommended action will have no/neutral impact on children and youth.

**SENIOR IMPACT**

The recommended action will have no/neutral impact on seniors.

**SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS**

The recommended action will have no/neutral sustainability implications.

**BACKGROUND**

At the December 18, 2018 meeting of the Board of Supervisors, the Department presented the findings of the Vasona Lake ADA Boat Access Feasibility Study as a response to the Board referral and provided a preliminary cost estimate and plan for next steps. The Board additionally directed the Department to report to the Housing, Land Use, Environment, and Transportation Committee (HLUET) in April and August each year relating to project status.

The Department reported to HLUET for the first time on April 18, 2019 and the second time on August 15, 2019. During that time, the Department was developing a Project Agreement and negotiating fees with the consulting firm Sandis for this project. Regarding project funding, the Department has planned to utilize the existing ADA Improvement fund for the dock design and dredging feasibility study if needed, and will continue to explore other funding opportunities, such as grants, to support implementation of the project.

**CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION**

None.

**STEPS FOLLOWING APPROVAL**

Upon processing, notify Yu-Wen Huang at 408-355-2210 or yu-wen.huang@prk.sccgov.org, Parks and Recreation Department.

**LINKS:**

- Linked To: 93705 : 93705
- Linked To: 94444 : 94444
- Linked To: 95841 : 95841
- Linked To: 97943 : 97943

**ATTACHMENTS:**

- 2019-12-17 Vasona ADA Boat Dock SD PPT (PDF)
ADA BOAT ACCESS
VASONA LAKE COUNTY PARK

Schematic Design Presentation
December 17, 2019
PROJECT CONTACTS

COUNTY PROJECT MANAGER
YU-WEN HUANG, PLA
E: yu-wen.huang@prk.sccgov.org

DESIGN CONSULTANT- SANDIS
CHAD BROWNING, PE
PROJECT MANAGER
E: cbrowning@sandis.net

MICHAEL FREDERICK, PE
DESIGN ENGINEER
E: mfrederick@sandis.net
TODAYS AGENDA

1. PROJECT STATUS
2. DESIGN OPTIONS
3. CONSTRUCTION ESTIMATES
4. PROJECT SCHEDULE
5. QUESTIONS
SANDIS SERVICES AND DELIVERABLES

Design
Permitting
Construction Documents
Construction Support

SUCCESS
PROJECT SCOPE

- Provide an accessible route from parking lot to boat dock.
- Replace the aging non-compliant dock with ADA-compliant dock
- Look at potential dock expansion
- Include self-launching structure to new dock
EXISTING SITE

- Existing site includes 3 finger pier and single pier
- Existing parking includes 2 ADA stalls
SITE PLAN: OPTION 1

- Replace the existing dock and gang way to allow ADA access to three fingers at the north side of the boat ramp
- Replace the existing dock and add new ADA Accessible Gangway and ADA Kayak Launch at the south side of the ramp
- Restripe ADA Stalls
SITE PLAN: OPTION 2

- Replace the existing dock and gangway and add an additional finger to accommodate ADA Kayak Launch at the north side of the boat ramp
- Existing small dock at the south side of the boat ramp to remain in place
- Restripe ADA stalls
EZ-Dock Manufacturer’s Specifications

➢ ADA compliant 4” Polyethylene dock sections – 5’x10’
➢ Transfer slide bench with grab rails for ADA loading
➢ 30-year design life
➢ 8-year warranty
➢ EZ Dock system and Kayak Launch can be relocated by LGS Rec. Staff/Maintenance
➢ ADA Aluminum Gangway
MANUFACTURER #1: EZ-DOCK

OPTION 1.

OPTION 2.
MANUFACTURER #2 : ACCU DOCK

AccuDock Manufacturer’s Specifications

➢ ADA Compliant HD-Dock with aluminum channels, polystyrene foam floats, and PVC decking
➢ ‘ADA Safe Launch’ Kayak Launch
➢ ADA transfer stairs, railing, and side assist
➢ ADA Aluminum Gangway
➢ 25-year design life
➢ Warranty provided on dock components
➢ 12-year for flotation items
➢ 7-year for aluminum assemblies
➢ 2-year for basic structure
MANUFACTURER #2 : ACCUDOCK

OPTION 1.

OPTION 2.
Board Safe Manufacturer’s Specifications

➢ ADA accessible Adaptive Kayak Boat Launch
➢ Includes boat slide adjacent to gangway to facilitate launching.
➢ Aluminum frame system, welded construction with composite decking.
➢ ADA Aluminum gangway
➢ Rubber dock bumper along edges of dock system
➢ 25-year design lifetime
➢ 1-year Warranty
MANUFACTURER #3: BOARD SAFE DOCKS

OPTION 1.

OPTION 2.
BOAT CHAIR LIFT MECHANISM

- Lift for loading and unloading of Disabled Sailors
- Mounted, Free Standing, and Mobile options available
- Motor and Hand operated lifts available
- Cost approx. ~ $3,000 - $10,000
CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATES

- Rough Order of Magnitude Costs
- Estimates include construction, material, and demolition costs
- Boat access lift included

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Option 1</th>
<th>Option 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Finger Pier</td>
<td>ADA Single Pier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ-Dock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dock Materials</td>
<td>$115,000</td>
<td>$162,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Development</td>
<td>$47,000</td>
<td>$44,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dock Construction</td>
<td>$360,000</td>
<td>$360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$698,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AccuDock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dock Materials</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$142,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Development</td>
<td>$47,000</td>
<td>$44,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dock Construction</td>
<td>$138,000</td>
<td>$360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$673,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Safe Docks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dock Materials</td>
<td>$101,000</td>
<td>$148,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Development</td>
<td>$47,000</td>
<td>$44,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dock Construction</td>
<td>$360,000</td>
<td>$360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$683,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANTICIPATED PROJECT SCHEDULE

01 4 WEEKS PREDESIGN

02 10 WEEKS SCHEMATIC DESIGN

03 10 WEEKS DESIGN DEVELOPMENT

04 14 WEEKS PERMITTING

05 14 WEEKS CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT PREPARATION

KICK-OFF MEETING

SCHEMATIC DESIGN PRESENTATION

DESIGN DEVELOPMENT PRESENTATION

September

October

November

December

January

February

March

April


Attachment: 2019-12-17 Vasona ADA Boat Dock SD PPT (100908 : Vasona Lake ADA Boat
QUESTIONS
DATE: April 16, 2020

TO: Housing, Land Use, Environment, and Transportation Committee (HLUET)

FROM: Ky Le, Director, Office of Supportive Housing

SUBJECT: Supportive Housing Reports

RECOMMENDED ACTION
Receive report relating to Supportive Housing System of Care reports. (Office of Supportive Housing)

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS
There are no fiscal implications associated with this informational report.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION
At its meeting on January 12, 2016 (Item No. 11), the Board of Supervisors directed the Administration to provide the Board with recurring reports or dashboards about the capacity and effectiveness of the supportive housing system for homeless individuals and families. The purpose of the reports is to communicate the impact of the County and community investments in solutions to prevent and end homelessness. On October 19, 2017 (Item No. 13), the Housing, Land Use, Environment, and Transportation Committee (HLUET) approved a monthly reporting schedule that includes a Supportive Housing System Dashboard and a semi-annual program type or subpopulation report or annual system report.

Attached is the Supportive Housing System Dashboard Report and the semi-annual report on Rapid Rehousing Programs (Attachment A).

CHILD IMPACT
The recommended action will have no/neutral impact on children and youth.

SENIOR IMPACT
The recommended action will have no/neutral impact on seniors.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS
The recommended action will have no/neutral sustainability implications.

**BACKGROUND**

The supportive housing system includes Permanent Supportive Housing programs (PSH), Rapid Rehousing programs (RRH), Homelessness Prevention programs (HP) and a Crisis Response system of outreach services, emergency shelter and transitional housing. The backbone to the system of care is a coordinated entry system with a robust Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) and dedicated staff to support performance management, compliance with federal grants and system planning.

This report describes the type, content of and frequency of reports that the Office of Supportive Housing (OSH) would provide to the Board.

**Types of Reports**

- **Supportive Housing System Report** – This report describes the overall supportive housing system of care. The report’s primary function is to communicate whether different program types are contributing to an overall reduction in homelessness. For example, the report describes housing placement rates across all programs.

- **System Component Reports** – OSH provides four reports, one each for PSH, RRH, HP and Temporary Housing strategies. The primary purpose of these reports is to summarize the effectiveness of all programs under each strategy.

- **Sub-Population Reports** – OSH provides reports for certain sub-populations. Currently, the only sub-population scheduled for ongoing reporting is homeless veterans. This report provides the Board with a summary of the community’s progress toward ending veteran homelessness. Unlike the System Component Reports, this report summarizes the effectiveness of the entire supportive housing system as it relates to homeless veterans, who can and are served by the full range of supportive housing programs.

**Report Content**

- **Programmatic Capacity** – Each report describes the total resources that were available to serve homeless individuals and families. Depending on the program type, the resources are categorized in different ways. For example, emergency shelter capacity is reported as the number of shelter beds or units, whereas Homelessness Prevention capacity is reported as the number of households the system is expects to serve annually.

- **Utilization** – Each report provides the utilization rates of applicable programs. As with program capacity, utilization is described differently for different programs. For example, emergency shelter utilization is typically limited to how often shelter beds
are occupied. However, for PSH programs, utilization reports consider both enrollment in services and the number of enrolled clients who are housed.

- Performance Measures – As a requirement of the Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009, OSH and local stakeholders established performance measures by program type and for the supportive housing system. Each report includes the relevant programs’ progress toward community-approved performance measures. For example, housing retention after 12 months is a key performance measure for PSH programs.

- Demographic Information – Each report describes program participants basic characteristics including race, ethnicity, gender, and age.

- Other – Each report may include additional information such as expansion opportunities (e.g., new grant opportunities) and development activities (e.g., new permanent supportive housing projects).

CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION

The HLUET committee would not receive the requested reports. OSH would continue providing the current reports on a monthly basis for system reporting and management purposes.

ATTACHMENTS:

- Attachment A - SH Dashboard and RRH Report April 2020   (PDF)
April 6, 2020

TO: Board of Supervisors
Housing, Land Use, Environment and Transportation Committee (HLUET) Committee

FROM: Ky Le, Office of Supportive Housing (OSH)

SUBJECT: Supportive Housing System in Santa Clara County and Rapid Rehousing Report

The attached report highlights trends, successes, and challenges of the supportive housing system in Santa Clara County between March 2019 and February 2020. The report’s primary function is to communicate how different programs are contributing to an overall reduction in homelessness. The supportive housing system includes housing programs that fall into five main categories: Emergency Shelter (ES), Transitional Housing (TH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH), Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), and Homelessness Prevention (HP). Additionally, this report provides supplementary data focusing on the County’s RRH programs.

Supportive Housing System Trends and Highlights

Housing program utilization remains high, averaging 85% across the seven programs shown in Appendix B. The HP and PH programs remain the most utilized programs as it relates to capacity. RRH utilization data reflects a 25% decrease from last month; this is due to an update in the enrollment calculation methodology for that program to more accurately reflect the utilization and does not represent a significant decrease in the number of households served.

Appendix C illustrates key system performance measures, benchmarks for which are determined in coordination with community partners on an annual basis. Noteworthy trends and highlights from the reporting period include the following:

- Chart 1 depicts the number of people experiencing homelessness for the first time (inflow) compared to the number of clients enrolled in a housing program during the
same period. As shown in chart 1, inflow decreased by 1% from the same period last year. Comparatively, persons entering ES, SH, TH or PH programs has increased by 1% from the same period last year.

- Chart 3 illustrates that permanent supportive housing retention remains high at 96.4%, exceeding the system-wide 95% benchmark over the past year and reaching the highest rate over the three-year period reported.

Appendix D presents data on housing placements and system entries by project type. Some trends and highlights include the following:

- The upper chart indicates that for the second month in a row, PSH placements were higher than the average over the past year. A new affordable housing development resulted in an additional 61 permanent housing placements for the month.

- The lower chart compares the number of housing placements to the number of first-time homeless households (inflow), split by assessment score range. For February, the number of newly homeless residents continued to outpace the county’s housing capacity; first-time homeless households eligible for a housing intervention (score ranges in PSH or RRH) exceeded monthly housing placements by 38%, despite the larger number of permanent housing placements in February as described above.

Appendix E provides data on returns to homelessness for households that were permanently housed through the supportive housing system. While returns to homelessness from households that exited from PSH and RRH programs into permanent housing has remained steady, households that exited to permanent housing from TH and ES programs have been returning to homelessness at a higher rate over the past several months. While this trend suggests that most individuals and families remain stably housed, some households may need additional assistance achieving and maintaining housing stability after exiting from TH and ES programs.

Appendices F through H include data related to the County’s RRH Programs. RRH programs provide time-limited rental assistance and supportive services to help people obtain housing quickly, increase self-sufficiency, and remain stably housed. Most RRH programs are focused on serving specific subpopulations. Accordingly, the RRH data is split by subpopulation. Some highlights:

- As shown in the table in Appendix F, RRH programs have the largest capacity to serve veterans and families. While veteran programs have slightly larger capacity than programs serving families, the latter served and housed significantly more households during the specified period.
• Appendix G shows 1) the length of time from enrollment to housing, and 2) the exit destinations for the various subpopulations served in RRH. The upper chart demonstrates that youth are housed in the fewest number of days (45) after enrollment, followed by families with children (69 days). The bottom chart shows that programs serving veterans have the highest rate of permanent housing placements (82%), followed by families with children (68%), youth (67%), and reentry (65%) programs. Programs serving single adults have the lowest rates of permanent placements.
Appendix A: Progress to Community Plan to End Homelessness Goal of 6,000 Housing Opportunities

Goal of 6,000 Housing Opportunities

- Program utilization is based on households who are enrolled in programs that are tracked in HMIS.
- PSH programs that are not tracked in HMIS include HUD VASH (1,222 units) and other programs which comprise 53 units. PSH capacity includes 50 units which are Permanent Housing with services (no disability required).
- For Safe Parking programs, one parking space is the equivalent of one unit of capacity with an estimated 2.5 individuals per vehicle; Shelter capacity is measured using beds.
- Rapid Rehousing and Homelessness Prevention capacity is based on the estimated number of households that agencies are expected to serve in one year.

Jan 2015 Baseline: 2,635 Housing Units/Vouchers
Goal: to add 6,000 Housing Units/Vouchers by 2020

Office of Supportive Housing
Supportive Housing System Dashboard
March 1, 2019 – February 29, 2020

Goal: 2,343 Units/Vouchers to be completed by 2020

Jan 2015 Baseline: 2,635 Housing Units/Vouchers
Goal: to add 6,000 Housing Units/Vouchers by 2020

2,360 Units/Vouchers added since Jan 2015
1297 Units in the Pipeline
Goal: 2,343 Units/Vouchers to be completed by 2020

Program Capacity (Units or Households)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Type</th>
<th>February 2019</th>
<th>February 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Rehousing (RRH)</td>
<td>1456</td>
<td>1515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing (TH)</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter (ES)</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Weather Shelter (CWS)</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclement Weather Shelter (IW)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Parking (SP)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homelessness Prevention (HP)</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>1540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program Utilization

- PSH: 92%
- RRH: 83%
- TH: 75%
- ES: 78%
- CWS: 73%
- SP: 81%
- HP: 115%
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Appendix C: System Performance Measures

1. Total System Entries and Homelessness for the First Time
- Persons with Entries into ES, SH, TH, or PH
- Inflow: People Experiencing Homelessness for the First Time*

* "First Time" per HUD = no entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months

2. Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations
- Of Persons in ES, TH, and RRH who Exited, the Percentage of Successful Exits to Permanent Housing

3. Permanent Housing Retention
- Percentage of People in Permanent Housing Programs (excluding Rapid Rehousing) Retaining Housing during the Reporting Year (Benchmark = 95%)
Appendix D: Housing Placements and System Entries by Month

Monthly Housing Placements from Project Types (Head of Household)

Number of Households Placed in Housing and Households Requesting Assistance for the First Time (First VI-SPDAT Assessment)
Appendix E: Returns to Homelessness

**Returns to Homelessness (Within 6 Months)**

After exiting to Permanent Housing Destinations, the Percentage of People who Return to Homelessness within 6 Months

(N = Exits to PH between 3/2017 to 2/2018)

- **2017**: System (8%), Permanent Housing (2%), Rapid Rehousing (3%), Transitional Housing (10%), Emergency Shelter (17%)
- **2018**: System (8%), Permanent Housing (1%), Rapid Rehousing (4%), Transitional Housing (7%), Emergency Shelter (11%)
- **2019**: System (10%), Permanent Housing (1%), Rapid Rehousing (5%), Transitional Housing (10%), Emergency Shelter (12%)

**Returns to Homelessness (Within 1 Year)**

After exiting to Permanent Housing Destinations, the Percentage of People who Return to Homelessness within 1 Year

(N = Exits to PH between 3/2017 to 2/2018)

- **2017**: System (12%), Permanent Housing (3%), Rapid Rehousing (6%), Transitional Housing (13%), Emergency Shelter (16%)
- **2018**: System (13%), Permanent Housing (4%), Rapid Rehousing (8%), Transitional Housing (12%), Emergency Shelter (21%)
- **2019**: System (15%), Permanent Housing (3%), Rapid Rehousing (8%), Transitional Housing (20%), Emergency Shelter (27%)

**Return to Homelessness (Within 2 Years)**

After exiting to Permanent Housing Destinations, the Percentage of People who Return to Homelessness within 2 Years

(N = Exits to PH between 3/2017 to 2/2018)

- **2017**: System (17%), Permanent Housing (6%), Rapid Rehousing (11%), Transitional Housing (19%), Emergency Shelter (21%)
- **2018**: System (19%), Permanent Housing (7%), Rapid Rehousing (12%), Transitional Housing (20%), Emergency Shelter (27%)
- **2019**: System (21%), Permanent Housing (5%), Rapid Rehousing (16%), Transitional Housing (28%), Emergency Shelter (33%)
Intimate Partner Violence (IPV) enrollment data is based on two programs that track anonymous data in HMIS.

“Any Population” programs are those that serve multiple subpopulations. Currently, these programs are primarily serving Single Adults.
Appendix G: RRH Outcomes - July 1, 2019 to February 29, 2020

Average Days to Housing by Target Population

Note: Target Populations with sample sizes less than 20 are not included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Population</th>
<th>Average Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YOUTH (N=71)</td>
<td>45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAMILIES (N=375)</td>
<td>69.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VETERANS (N=245)</td>
<td>79.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINGLE ADULTS (N=126)</td>
<td>104.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REENTRY (N=61)</td>
<td>106.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL PROGRAMS (N=910)</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exit Destinations by Target Population

Note: Target Populations with sample sizes less than 20 are not included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>Veterans (N=213)</th>
<th>Reentry (N=40)</th>
<th>Youth (N=23)</th>
<th>Families (N=163)</th>
<th>Single Adults (N=87)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMPORARY</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNKNOWN/PLACE NOT MEANT FOR HABITATION</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix H: RRH Demographic Information - July 1, 2019 to February 29, 2020

By Age Tier:
- 18 to 24: 11%
- 25 to 34: 27%
- 35 to 44: 23%
- 45 to 54: 15%
- 55 to 64: 17%
- 65 or Above: 7%

By Race:
- White: 62%
- Black or African American: 19%
- American Indian or Alaska Native: 5%
- Multi-Racial: 5%
- Asian: 4%
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: 3%
- Client doesn’t know/Data not collected: 2%

By Ethnicity:
- Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino: 52%
- Hispanic/Latino: 48%

By Gender:
- Male: 53%
- Female: 46%
- Transgender/Gender Non-Conforming: 1%
DATE: February 20, 2020, Regular Meeting  
TIME: 10:00 AM  
PLACE: Board of Supervisors’ Chambers  

MINUTES

Opening

1. Call to Order.

Chairperson Wasserman called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. A quorum was present.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendee Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Arrived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mike Wasserman</td>
<td>Chairperson</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Joseph Simitian</td>
<td>Vice Chairperson</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Public Comment. (ID# 100280)

3. Approve Consent Calendar and changes to the Committee's Agenda. (ID# 100565)

One individual addressed the Committee and submitted written comments for the record.

Item No. 8 was added to the Consent Calendar. Item No. 6 was held to March 19, 2020.

3 RESULT: APPROVED AS AMENDED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: S. Joseph Simitian, Vice Chairperson  
SECONDER: Mike Wasserman, Chairperson  
AYES: Wasserman, Simitian

Regular Agenda - Items for Discussion

4. Under advisement from January 14, 2020 Board of Supervisors meeting (Item No. 20): Receive report from the Office of Emergency Management relating to legislative opportunities, including grants, claims information from Pacific Gas and Electric Company, vulnerable communities, and available community resources. (ID# 100429)

Dana Reed, Director, Office of Emergency Management; Mark Quinlan, Senior Director, Emergency Preparedness and Response, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E); and, Jake Zigelman, Director, Customer Service, PG&E, provided information relating to the PG&E Community Wildfire Safety Program.
Vice Chairperson Simitian requested that Administration collaborate with PG&E relating to an engineering and electrical assessment of potential sites for use as Community Resource Centers (CRCs) during Public Safety Power Shut-offs (PSPS), including an evaluation of existing capabilities and improvements necessary to use sites as CRCs; and, report to the Committee on March 19, 2020 regarding the assessment. In response to an inquiry from Vice Chairperson Simitian, Mr. Zigelman stated that PG&E is responsible for staffing CRCs and is considering various options to meet staffing needs; and, all CRCs will be staffed with individuals who can intelligently and knowledgeably respond to questions from the public well before the start of the 2020 fire season on June 1, 2020. In response to further inquiry from Vice Chairperson Simitian, Mr. Zigelman stated that additional analysis is necessary to identify responsibility for funding power sources at CRCs; and, issues relating to responsibility for funding and implementation must be resolved before the start of the 2020 fire season on June 1, 2020. Chairperson Wasserman noted that he and Vice Chairperson Simitian are members of the Santa Clara County Library District; they support using County libraries as CRCs; and, advised that staff from his Office will, upon request, provide all information necessary to evaluate and establish County libraries as CRCs. Chairperson Wasserman also noted the importance of notifying the public of the location of CRCs before the start of the 2020 fire season.

Vice Chairperson Simitian requested that Administration request from PG&E the percentage of non-PG&E account holders who opted into the PG&E zip code-based PSPS notification system; PG&E’s plan to increase the percentage if not already high, including outreach and a user friendly method for opting in; and, report to the Committee on March 19, 2020 regarding the requested information.

Vice Chairperson Simitian requested that PG&E provide the County with a Geographic Information System map of privately-owned cell towers without a battery or generator back-up system to overlay with polygons depicting areas impacted by PSPS necessary for the County to provide the public with vital information in a timely manner. In response to an inquiry from Vice Chairperson Simitian, Mr. Zigelman stated that PG&E intends to share critical information in the event of PSPS as directed by the California Public Utilities Commission; however, there are legal issues involved in sharing the information, particularly relating to telecommunication sites, in advance of PSPS. Vice Chairperson Simitian requested that Administration and County Counsel engage PG&E to obtain the information in advance of PSPS, including carefully drafted agreements or other mechanisms available to resolve any claimed legal issues; and, report to the Committee on March 19, 2020 regarding their efforts.

Chairperson Wasserman requested that PG&E consider trimming trees in Tier 3 before Tiers 1-2; a long-term solution that includes relocating systems underground, instead of system hardening aboveground, in high risk areas; consistency in referring to the number of accounts or number of individuals affected by PSPS; and, use of microgrids or sectionalizing devices to reduce customer impact during PSPS.
In response to an inquiry from Chairperson Wasserman, Mr. Zigelman stated that PG&E will report to the Committee on March 19, 2020 to respond to the issues raised during the meeting.

**4 RESULT:** RECEIVED

5. Under advisement from March 12, 2019 (Item No. 24) and October 8, 2019 (Item No. 24) Board of Supervisors meetings: Receive report from the Office of Supportive Housing relating to implementation of housing for extremely low and very low income persons with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities. (ID# 100079)

**5 RESULT:** RECEIVED

6. Under advisement from January 28, 2020 Board of Supervisors meeting (Item No. 81): Consider recommendations relating to Employee Transportation Demand Management (TDM). (ID# 100294)

   Possible action:
   
   a. Receive report from the Facilities and Fleet Department relating to a TDM Implementation Guide for employee commutes.

   b. Under advisement from August 27, 2019 Board of Supervisors meeting (Item No. 10): Receive report from the Facilities and Fleet Department relating to providing a shuttle for County employees to and from the Diridon Station during peak commute hours.

   Held to March 19, 2020 at the request of Vice Chairperson Simitian.

**6 RESULT:** HELD [UNANIMOUS] Next: 3/19/2020 10:00 AM

MOVER: S. Joseph Simitian, Vice Chairperson

SECONDER: Mike Wasserman, Chairperson

AYES: Wasserman, Simitian

7. Receive report from the Office of the County Executive, Consumer and Environmental Protection Agency, and Department of Planning and Development relating to proposed local regulations of hemp cultivation for unincorporated Santa Clara County. (ID# 100104)

   Vice Chairperson Simitian requested that Administration report to the Committee on date uncertain relating to an effective date for the proposed regulations that is contingent on future action by the Federal and State governments.

**7 RESULT:** RECEIVED
8. Receive report from the Office of the Clerk of the Board relating to proposed amendments to the Roads Commission bylaws, and forward to the Board of Supervisors for approval. (ID# 100118)

Added to the Consent Calendar at the request of Chairperson Wasserman.

8 RESULT: FORWARDED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: S. Joseph Simitian, Vice Chairperson
SECONDER: Mike Wasserman, Chairperson
AYES: Wasserman, Simitian

Consent Calendar

9. Receive annual report from the Office of Sustainability relating to progress on the County's Environmental Stewardship Goals, sustainability, and climate action programs through December 31, 2019. (ID# 99978)

9 RESULT: RECEIVED

10. Receive semi-annual report from the Employee Services Agency relating to Fiscal Year 2020 extra help usage for agencies and departments reporting to the Housing, Land Use, Environment, and Transportation Committee. (ID# 100211)

10 RESULT: RECEIVED

11. Receive report from the Roads and Airports Department relating to agreements executed by the Director, Roads and Airports Department, pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Supervisors on December 13, 2016. (ID# 100264)

11 RESULT: RECEIVED

12. Receive Quarterly Noise Report from the Roads and Airports Department, Airports Division. (ID# 100135)

12 RESULT: RECEIVED

13. Receive evaluation and biannual report relating to the Low-cost Spay/Neuter Program. (ID# 100324)

13 RESULT: RECEIVED

14. Consider recommendations relating to Supportive Housing System of Care reports. (Office of Supportive Housing) (ID# 100319)

   Possible action:

   a. Receive monthly report relating to Supportive Housing System Dashboard.

   b. Receive semi-annual report relating to Reentry Housing programs.

14 RESULT: RECEIVED
15. Approve minutes of the January 16, 2020 Regular Meeting.

15 RESULT: APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER: S. Joseph Simitian, Vice Chairperson
SECONDER: Mike Wasserman, Chairperson
AYES: Wasserman, Simitian

Adjourn

16. Adjourn to the next regular meeting on Thursday, March 19, 2020 at 10:00 a.m. in the Board of Supervisors' Chambers, County Government Center, 70 West Hedding Street, San Jose.

Chairperson Wasserman adjourned the meeting at 12:23 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Peggy Doyle
Deputy Clerk